

Rose Kemp

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED &amp; INSPECTED


APR 06 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

From: "TracFone Wireless" <feedback@tracfone.com>  
 To: <rosek@clas.net>  
 Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2005 9:48 PM  
 Subject: Oppose the Flat-Tax Increase That Raise Phone Bills!

To ensure that you receive a mail from TracFone, please click [here](#).

**TRACFONE**  
 national prepaid wireless



## STOP the FCC from RAISING your TracFone Rates

Did you know that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) wants to shift the burden of the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection method from large corporate long distance users to residential and other low-volume telephone users, like you? **Stop the FCC** from approving this radical new "flat fee" **tax collection** method for USF funding. If you do not act now, this FCC tax hike will **bring you increased local phone bills and cellular expenses.**

**Oppose the flat-tax increase** that will unfairly raise your phone bills, including prepaid wireless - **call 1-202-418-1000** today or **click here to email the FCC.**

**stop this unfair TAX INCREASE!**

**click here!**


[my account](#) | [contact us](#) | [unsubscribe](#) | [privacy policy](#)

© 2005 TracFone Wireless, Inc. 8390 NW 25th Street, Miami, FL 33122. All rights reserved.

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
 List ABCDE

2/19/2005

The Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554



Subject: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service—Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make a few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF fair!

Sincerely, *Robert & Amy Pearson*